# Taylor Independent School District Naomi Pasemann Elementary 2023-2024 Campus Improvement Plan



## **Mission Statement**

## **Taylor ISD Mission**

Inspire, Equip, and Empower Every Student To Achieve Their Unique Potential

## Vision

## **Taylor ISD Vision**

Intentionally Empowering the Whole Child

## **NPE Promise**

I promise to.....

N – NURTURE RESPONSIBILITY

**P – PRACTICE RESPECT** 

E – ENSURE SAFETY

## **Table of Contents**

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	4
School Processes & Programs	5
Perceptions	6
Priority Problem Statements	7
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	8
Goals	10
Goal 1: Excellence in student outcomes	11
Goal 2: Exceptional workplace climate	17
Goal 3: Quality customer service	19
Goal 4: Efficient financial stewardship service	22
Goal 5: Safety in schools	23

## **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

## **Demographics**

## **Demographics Summary**

Naomi Pasemann Elementary is 1st - 5th grades with an enrollment of 562 students. The diverse student population is made up of 7.6% African American, 63% Hispanic, 24% White, 3.7% 2 races or more, .18% Asian, 1.8% Pacific Islanders and .18% Alaskan Native or American Indian.

61% of the student population is identified as economically disadvantaged, 20% as Emergent Bilingual, 19% as special education, and 7.8% as gifted and talented.

The beginning of the year coding process for English Learners and Economically Disadvantaged students is not complete yet.

Naomi Pasemann Elementary qualifies as a school wide Title 1 campus. Title 1 funds, as well as other federal and state funds are supplemental to other funds available to Naomi Pasemann Elementary.

### **Demographics Strengths**

Naomi Pasemann Elementary provides instruction for 1st - 5th graders totaling ------ students. Naomi Pasemann Elementary provides free and/or reduced lunches to students who qualify. Naomi Pasemann Elementary has a Flight School program which is structured and intentional academic support and enrichment program.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs**

Problem Statement 1: The number of students attending Naomi Pasemann Elementary are demonstrating academic deficits. Root Cause: Not enough students are utilizing the Flight School program.

## **Student Learning**

### **Student Learning Summary**

Naomi Pasemann Elementary is in the process of completing the beginning of the year assessments using mClass for grades 1st - 2nd and Renassaince for grades 1st - 5th. Data indicates NPE needs to establish a strong tier 1 instruction along with small group and specialized instruction to meet the needs of our students.

#### **Student Learning Strengths**

Naomi Pasemann Elementary students are showing signs of growth in the classroom since teachers have established WIN groups and small groups.

### Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1: Tier 1 instruction needs to be stregnethened. Root Cause: Accountability and consistent walkthroughs with feedback needed to strengthen tier 1 instruction.

## **School Processes & Programs**

### School Processes & Programs Summary

Naomi Pasemann Elementary uses the district required curriculum along with TEKs resource system. Teachers provide small group instruction for both reading and math.

Assessments are doing using mClass and Renaissance.

Admin along with teaching and learning team conducts walkthroughs and provide feedback to improve instruction.

Professional Learning Communities meet weekly to prepare high quality lessons and use data to drive instruction.

#### **School Processes & Programs Strengths**

Naomi Pasemann Elementary is a part of the Texas Instructional Leadership program. Professional development on unpacking standards to create rigorous lesson plans took place. Teachers are submitting plans that reviewed and tweaked to support our students.

PLC's meet weekly prepare and drive instruction.

#### Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1: PLCs in the past have been more of a data dig meeting and not a collaborative planning meeting. Root Cause: Lack of training in the PLC process and a continuation of old practices.

## Perceptions

## **Perceptions Summary**

We believe a positive work environment helps motivate teachers to strive for improvement.

A positive culture is created through support for staff by the administration. Frequent celebrations (weekly shout outs), tokens of appreciation (soft drinks from Sonic and PTO support with weekly treats), positive attitudes, and an open door policy help foster this environment.

#### **Perceptions Strengths**

Naomi Pasemann Elementary has hired exceptional teachers and has already seen improvements with procedures and classroom instruction.

Teachers are willing to open up classrooms to support other teachers.

### **Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs**

Problem Statement 1: We need to build more teacher leaders and tap into the experts we have on campus. Root Cause: Teachers hesitate to share their knowledge with others and step outside their comfort zone.

**Priority Problem Statements** 

## **Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation**

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

#### **Improvement Planning Data**

- District goals
- Campus goals
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data

#### **Accountability Data**

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Effective Schools Framework data

#### **Student Data: Assessments**

- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- Other PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- State-developed online interim assessments
- Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

#### **Student Data: Student Groups**

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- · Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.
- Section 504 data
- Homeless data
- Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

#### **Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators**

- Attendance data
- Discipline records

School safety data

### **Employee Data**

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- T-TESS data

## Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

## Support Systems and Other Data

- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Study of best practices

## Goals

## Goal 1: Excellence in student outcomes

Performance Objective 1: Increase performance in the meet grade level for 3rd grade and 4th STAAR reading by at least 20%.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** STAAR data

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Implement the literacy framework in the reading block with fidelity.		Formative		Summative
<ul> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student performance</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin and content specialists</li> <li>TEA Priorities:</li> <li>Build a foundation of reading and math</li> <li>- ESF Levers:</li> <li>Lever 5: Effective Instruction</li> <li>- Targeted Support Strategy</li> </ul>	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
<b>Strategy 2:</b> Put a PLC process in place where the 4 essential questions are answered leading to teacher clarity and common formative unit assessments are designed.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved student achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin and content specialists TEA Priorities:	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Improve tier 1 instruction through the use of common and formative assessments and assessing that data to		<b>Formative</b>		
identify areas of reteach/small groups.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Closing academic gaps				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin and content specialists				
<b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 5: Effective Instruction - <b>Targeted Support Strategy</b>				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Teachers will use strategies from Lead4ward and Backwards Design trainings to implement into their daily		Formative		Summative
lessons.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase critical thinking skills				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin and content specialists				
TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy				
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

## Performance Objective 2: Increase performance in the meet grade level for 3rd grade and 4th STAAR math by at least 20%.

**Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR** 

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Teachers will use strategies from Lead4ward and Backwards Design trainings to implement into their daily		Formative		
<ul> <li>Increase student performance</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin and content specialists</li> <li>TEA Priorities:</li> <li>Build a foundation of reading and math</li> <li>ESF Levers:</li> <li>Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction</li> <li>Targeted Support Strategy</li> </ul>	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	•
Strategy 2: Teachers will have a dedicated WIN time to provide intervention.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student performance Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin and content specialists	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
<b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 5: Effective Instruction - <b>Targeted Support Strategy</b>				

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 3: Math teachers will follow a specified math block focusing on fluency, spiral review, problem of the day,		Formative	ve Summ	
concrete instruction, application with aggressive. monitoring and guided math.	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student performance Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin and content specialists				
<b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 5: Effective Instruction - <b>Targeted Support Strategy</b>				
Image: No Progress     Image: Accomplished     Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	1	

Performance Objective 3: Increase the number of 1st and 2nd grade students on grade level for Renaissance reading ......

Evaluation Data Sources: Renaissance

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: 1st and 2nd grade teachers will receive Fundations training to help improve phonics instruction.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student reading abilities	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, content specialists, and Admin				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
- Targeted Support Strategy				
No Progress Organization Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

**Goal 1:** Excellence in student outcomes

Performance Objective 4: Provide phonics support with fidelity using secret stories.

**Evaluation Data Sources: Mclass** 

**Goal 1:** Excellence in student outcomes

**Performance Objective 5:** Use methods and instructional strategies that strengthen the academic program in the school using flashcards and composition notebooks to support academic growth.

Evaluation Data Sources: Flashcards, composition notebooks,

Performance Objective 1: To increase NPE's retention rate of highly qualified teachers.

Evaluation Data Sources: End of year data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide professional development to support and retain staff as well as creating a strong campus culture.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: End of year data Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin and teachers	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
<b>TEA Priorities:</b> Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture - <b>Targeted Support Strategy</b>				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Administration will provide meetings to support new hires on campus.		Formative		Summative
<ul> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase self-confidence, encourage/support growth and teacher retention</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin and campus mentors - district support</li> <li>TEA Priorities:</li> <li>Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals</li> <li>- ESF Levers:</li> <li>Lever 3: Positive School Culture</li> <li>- Targeted Support Strategy</li> </ul>	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 3 Details		 Re	views	
Strategy 3: NPE will recognize staff throughout the year.	Formative Summati			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase teacher retention and improve team/campus culture. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin	Oct	Jan	Mar	June

<b>TEA Priorities:</b> Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 3: Positive School Culture - <b>Targeted Support Strategy</b>				
0% No Progress	Accomplished	 X Discont	tinue	

**Performance Objective 1:** Annually increase the percentage of staff satisfaction.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** District survey data

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Allow an open door/safe zone for staff to voice concerns and provide support to improve the workplace.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: End of year data	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin				
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture - Targeted Support Strategy				
Image: No Progress     Image: Accomplished     Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2: Increase opportunities to engage parents and families in the school to promote academic success.

Evaluation Data Sources: Parent sign in sheets

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: NPE will provide parent engagement nights.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase parent involvement			Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin and PFE committee				
TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture - Targeted Support Strategy				
Image: No Progress     Image: Accomplished     Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	1	1

Performance Objective 3: Annually increase the percentage of student satisfaction with school experiences.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Student survey results Meeting with students

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Campus will conduct student surveys for 4th and 5th graders.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campus survey data	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Admin				
TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture - Targeted Support Strategy				
Image: Weight of the second	X Discon	tinue	1	1

## Performance Objective 1: Maintain a balanced budget

Evaluation Data Sources: Budget reports

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Admin will meet monthly with the secretary to review budget.		Formative Sum		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Maintain a balanced budget.	Oct	Oct Jan Mar		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin and secretary				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	•
Strategy 2: Strategic planning to purchase items to support student growth.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student moral	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Admin, and secretary				
Image: Mo Progress     Image: Mo Pro	X Discor	itinue		1

Performance Objective 1: Maintain a safe and secure campus for all students and staff.

Evaluation Data Sources: Documentation, meeting agendas, and drill schedules.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
rategy 1: NPE will conduct required safety drills.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Documentation on drills, weekly door checks, and campus logs	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, admin, and campus staff				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: NPE will create a safety team and meet at least 4 times within the year to evaluate safety measures.	Formative Summative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Documentation of meetings	Oct	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin and safety team				
No Progress Or Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	tinue		